FILED 12/23/2013 5:20:17 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

CAUSE NO.: <u>CV-13-220+</u>

VANITY BENSON,

Plaintiff,

V.

S

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

TYSON FOODS, INC.,

Defendant.

S

GRAYSON COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiff Vanity Benson ("Benson") presents her Original Petition and Jury Demand and for causes of action would show the Court as follows:

Discovery Control Plan

1. Benson intends discovery to be conducted under Level 2, TEX. R. CIV. P. 190.3.

Service of Process

2. Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc., ("Tyson Foods") is a foreign corporation that may be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, at its registered address, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201-4234.

Background Facts

- 3. This is an negligence case for work injuries and wrongful termination suit.
- 4. On October 4, 2012, Tyson assigned Benson a temporary forklift which did not brake or align properly.
- 5. The forklift crushed Benson's foot, breaking several bones, requiring multiple surgeries, including the placement of screws in her foot.

Plaintiff's Original Petition and Jury Demand



- 6. Tyson Foods is a non-subscriber to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, and it is therefore responsible for negligent injuries to its workers.
 - 7. An employer must warn an employee of the hazards of employment.
 - 8. An employer must furnish reasonably safe equipment to use.
 - 9. An employer must not needlessly endanger an employee.
 - 10. An employer has the duty to inspect and discover dangerous conditions.
- 11. An employer has a duty to protect employees from dangers it knows, or should know, in the exercise of ordinary care.
- 12. An employer is responsible for taking reasonable precautions for any known or potentially known safety issues.
- 13. An employer is responsible if it created or failed to correct an unsafe condition of the premises where the employee is required to work.
- 14. Tyson Foods typically requests that employees who suffer on-the-job injuries sign an "Acceptance and Waiver" document after the injury.
- 15. Tyson Foods may have presented Ms. Benson with an Acceptance and Waiver document for her signature at her car in the Tyson Foods parking lot.
- 16. Benson did not understand the meaning of the document Tyson requested her to sign or the consequences.
- 17. The form may have been an Acceptance and Waiver form to accept the limited benefits offered in Tyson's injury program and waive any rights to recover full compensation for the injuries caused by Tyson.

Page 2

- 18. Tyson's Human Resource Manager overseeing the Tyson complex at which Benson worked did not understand the consequences of the Acceptance and Waiver form.
- 19. Tyson's Human Resource Manager is not sure or does not know what is being waived.
- 20. Tyson's Human Resource Manager believes the Acceptance and Waiver form is just an agreement to follow Tyson's policies regarding its injury program.
- 21. No one from a Tyson Foods Human Resource Department explained the content or consequences of the Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 22. No one from Tyson Foods legal department explained the content or consequences of an Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 23. No manager from Tyson Foods explained the content or consequences of an Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 24. The form which may have been an Acceptance and Waiver document was presented to Ms. Benson at her car by a Tyson Foods evening-shift nurse, Larry Reese.
- 25. Tyson Foods nurse Larry Reese told Ms. Benson that Tyson Foods would not pay for Ms. Benson's medical expenses unless she signed the Acceptance and Waiver document.
- 26. Ms. Benson signed the form in her car in the Tyson Foods parking lot in the presence of only a friend and Tyson Foods evening-shift nurse Larry Reese.
- 27. Larry Reese only stated to Ms. Benson that the form was necessary for her medical expenses to be paid.
 - 28. Larry Reese demanded that Benson sign the paperwork.



- 29. Tyson pressured Benson to sign the paperwork, under duress, not allowing time to understand and consider the consequences or time for review by an attorney.
- 30. Tyson refused to provide Benson with a copy of the paperwork she signed and Benson is not sure of its terms.
- 31. Tyson paid Benson for portions of the time she has missed due to her injury, but refused to pay her for other portions of time she has missed.
- 32. Tyson has also informed Benson that one or more of her medical provider visits was not approved although Benson was not aware of making unapproved medical provider visits.
- 33. After a visit with a medical provider, Benson typically took the medical paperwork to the Tyson office. She would give the paperwork to Tyson and receive a mileage form that she filled out to receive reimbursement for her mileage to and from the doctor.
- 34. Benson did not have a badge for entry into the building during her leave, and she had difficulty walking due to her injuries and surgeries.
- 35. The procedure Tyson established was for Benson to call the Tyson nurse, Larry, from her car at the Tyson guard shack. The nurse would then come out to Benson's car, bringing a mileage form to Benson, and getting the medical paperwork from Benson.
- 36. On July 26, 2013, after a doctor's visit following up on a recent surgery, Benson arrived at the Tyson office to exchange her medical paperwork for a mileage form.
- 37. When the Tyson nurse arrived at Benson's car, Benson gave him her medical paperwork. The nurse took her paperwork but informed her that he could not give her a mileage form. He informed Ms. Benson that she was no longer employed by Tyson.

Page 4 Rose State Court Court

38. Benson does not seek to recover or replace benefits under Tyson's injury program. Instead, she seeks remedy for violations of legal duties independent of any federal statute.

Causes of Action

Premises Liability and Negligence

- 39. Tyson Foods owed a duty to Benson to provide a safe workplace and safe equipment required to do her job. Tyson Foods violated its duty by:
 - a. providing a defective forklift to Ms. Benson;
 - b. failing to warn of the hazards of the defective forklift;
 - c. failing to furnish reasonably safe equipment to use;
 - d. needlessly endangering Ms. Benson;
 - e. failing to inspect and discover dangerous conditions;
 - f. failing to protect employees from dangers it knows, or should know, in the exercise of ordinary care;
 - g. failing to take reasonable precautions from any known or potentially known safety issues; and
 - h. failing to correct an unsafe condition of the premises where the employee is required to work.
- 40. Tyson Foods failed to provide Ms. Benson with a necessary instrumentality in keeping with its duty to maintain a safe workplace. Tyson Foods breached that duty, causing damages to Benson within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.

Page 5

- 41. As a proximate result of Tyson Foods duties regarding its premises and its negligence, Ms. Benson sustained personal injuries to her body generally, including the following damages in the past and in reasonable probability in the future:
 - a. physical pain and suffering;
 - b. mental anguish;
 - c. loss of enjoyment of life;
 - d. inconvenience;
 - e. physical impairment;
 - f. physical disfigurement;
 - g. loss of earning capacity in the past and future; and
 - h. reasonable expenses of necessary medical care in the past and future.

Texas Labor Code § 451.001

- 42. Section 451.001 of the Texas Labor Code prohibits a person from discharging or otherwise discriminating against an employee because the employee has filed a workers' compensation claim or instituted a proceeding under the Texas Workers' Compensation Act. For the purpose of Section 451.001, an employee does not have to actually file a claim; merely notifying an employer of a work-related injury is sufficient.
- 43. Tyson Foods violated Chapter 451 of the Texas Labor Code by terminating Benson's employment.
- 44. Section 451.002 of the Texas Labor Code provides that a person who violates Section 451.001 is liable for reasonable damages incurred by the employee as a result of the violation and that the employee is entitled to reinstatement in her former position of employment.
- 45. As a result of Tyson Foods' violation of Chapter 451 of the Texas Labor Code, Benson suffered actual economic or pecuniary loss in the form of lost wages and benefits, as well as

Plaintiff's Original Petition and Jury Demand



FILED 12/23/2013 5:20:17 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

non-economic damages in the form of mental anguish. She may have suffered other possible reasonable damages including without limitation mental anguish, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, emotional distress, pain and suffering, and injury to reputation.

- 46. Section 451.003 provides that a district court may restrain, for cause shown, a violation of Section 451.001. Benson has cause to be entitled to permanent injunctive relief prohibiting any further retaliation against her by Tyson Foods, including a prohibition from terminating her after reinstatement because of the claim and treating her materially adversely such that a reasonable person, had she known of the adverse action, might well have been dissuaded from bringing the claim.
- 47. Because Tyson Foods acted with malice, that is, with specific intent to cause substantial injury of harm to Benson, she is entitled to an award of exemplary damages.

Jury Demand

48. Benson requests a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Benson requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer and that on final trial, Benson have judgment against Defendant for compensatory and exemplary damages, attorneys' and expert fees, declaratory and injunctive relief as alleged, interest as provided by law, costs of suit, and any further relief to which Benson may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

SANFORDBETHUNE

By: /s/ Brian P. Sanford

Brian P. Sanford Texas Bar No. 17630700 David B. Norris Texas Bar No. 24060934

Plaintiff's Original Petition and Jury Demand



FILED 12/23/2013 5:20:17 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

3610 Shire Blvd., Suite 206 Richardson, Texas 75082 Telephone: (972) 422-9777 Facsimile: (972) 422-9733

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF VANITY BENSON



CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

CAUSE NUMBER (FOR			URT (FOR CLERK USE		
STYLED VAN	e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance	. TY SON FOO!	DS INC.	***************************************	W
A civil case information sheet me	e.g., John Smith V. All American Insurance ust be completed and submitted when nent petition for modification or moti	n an original petition or applicat	ion is filed to initiate a	a new civil. family law, r	robate, or mental best available at
1. Contact information for person	on completing case information she	et: Names of parties in c	ase:	Person or entity com	deting sheet is:
Name:	Name: Email:			Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitio Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitio Title IV-D Agency	
DAVID NOPRIS Address:	VANITY BE	VANITY BENSON		Other:	
3610 SHIRE BLUB, #2	z_			Additional Parties in Child Support Case:	
City/State/Zip:	City/State/Zip: Fax:			Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): Custodial Parent: TYSON FOODS, INC.	
KICHARSON, 1 x 750	12 (972) 422-973	33	Non-Custodial Parent:		
Signature:	State Bar No: 24060934		Presumed Father:		
100010101	27000131	[Attach additional page as nec	essary to list all parties]		·····
2. Indicate case type, or identify	the most important issue in the cas	e (select only 1);			
	Civil	e samuel de la companya de la compa		Family Law	
Contract	Injury or Damage	Real Property	Marriage Relatio	nship (non-1	ment Actions litle IV-D)
Debt/Contract Consumer/DTPA Debt/Contract	☐ Assault/Battery ☐ Construction ☐ Defamation	☐Eminent Domain/ Condemnation ☐Partition	☐Annulment ☐Declare Marriag Divorce	e Void	tion—Custody
☐Fraud/Misrepresentation☐Other Debt/Contract:	Malpractice ☐Accounting ☐Legal	☐Quiet Title ☐Trespass to Try Title ☐Other Property:	☐ With Children ☐ No Children	Enforcem	le IV-D ent/Modification
Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited Other Foreclosure	☐ Medical ☐ Other Professional			☐Paternity☐Reciproca☐Support O	
Franchise	Liability:	Related to Criminal Matters	Other Family	Law Parent-Ch	ild Relationship
☐Landlord/Tenant ☐Non-Competition	Premises Product Liability	☐Expunction ☐Judgment Nisi	Enforce Foreign		/Adoption with
☐Partnership ☐Other Contract:	Asbestos/Silica Other Product Liability	☐Non-Disclosure ☐Scizure/Forfeiture	☐Habeas Corpus ☐Name Change	☐Child Pro ☐Child Sup	port
	List Product:	☐Writ of Habeas Corpus— Pre-indictment ☐Other:	☐Protective Orde ☐Removal of Dis of Minority		al Parenting
			□Other:	Parentage	
Employment		Civil		Rights ☐Other Par	ent-Child:
☐Discrimination☐Retaliation	Administrative Appeal Antitrust/Unfair	☐Lawyer Discipline ☐Perpetuate Testimony			
☐Termination ☐Workers' Compensation	Competition Code Violations	Securities/Stock Tortious Interference			
Other Employment:	Foreign Judgment Intellectual Property	Other:			
Tax		Probate & M.	ental Health		
□Tax Appraisal	Probate & Mental Health Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration				
☐ Tax Delinquency ☐ Other Tax	Dependent Administration Guardianship—Minor Independent Administration Mental Health Other Estate Proceedings Other:				
3. Indicate procedure or remed	 if applicable (may select more than				
☐ Appeal from Municipal or Jus	tice Court Declarate	ory Judgment	Prejude	ment Demedy	
Arbitration-related Attachment	☐Garnishr ☐Interplea		☐Protect	ve Order er	COURT
☐Bill of Review	License		Seques	tration	COURT
□ Certiorari □ Mandamus □ Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction □ Class Action □ Post-judgment □ Turnover				unction	
4. Indicate damages sought (do	not select if it is a family law case):				
Licss than \$100,000 and non-n	damages of any kind, penalties, costs nonetary relief	s, expenses, pre-judgment interes	st, and attorney fees	=	4-4
Over \$100,000 but not more to Over \$200,000 but not more to	than \$200,000 han \$1,000,000				ON THE STATE OF
***Cyer \$1,000,000					Who was sur.

Cassel 4:4-4-vc-0-0022-1KPD octorone mode 2 3 Fiftele 00 2/2/2/2/44 PRoge 4 0 0 to 52 2 Proge 15 D##:1742

KELLY ASHMORE DISTRICT CLERK JUSTICE CENTER, 200 S. CROCKETT SHERMAN, TEXAS 75090

Brian P Sanford SanfordBethune 3610 Shire Blvd Suite 206 Richardson TX 75082



CITATION

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney does not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m. on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.

Tyson Foods, Inc Registered Agent CT Corporation System 350 N St Paul Street **Suite 2900** Dallas TX 75201-4234

Greetings:

You are hereby commanded to appear by filing a written answer to the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND at or before ten o'clock a.m. on the Monday after the expiration of twenty days after the date of service of this citation before the Honorable 59th District Court of Grayson County, Texas at the Justice Center of said County in Sherman, Texas. Said Plaintiff's Petition was filed in said court On This The 23rd Day Of December, 2013 this case, numbered CV-13-2204 on the docket of said court, and styled:

Vanity Benson VS. Tyson Foods, Inc

The nature of the Plaintiff's demand is fully shown by a true and correct copy of the PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION AND JURY DEMAND. accompanying this citation and make a part hereof.

The officer executing this writ shall promptly serve the same according to requirements of law, and the mandates thereof, and make due return as the law directs.

Issued and given under hand and seal of said court at Sherman, Texas, 210 day of economic 013.

Kelly Ashmore





CV-13-2204

SHERIFF'S RETURN

Came to hand on	day of County, M. by delivering	-	, 20, a	t:	M. And
executed in	County,	lexas on the	ne day o	I defendant(s) in	nercon a true
copy of this citation	n, having first endorsed the	ereon the da	ate of delivery, tog	ether with the	accompanying
	y of the Plaintiff's Petition,				
NAME	D. M. D. V	T.:	T DI C	Dist. C	T T
NAME	Date Mth-Day-Yr	Time	Place, Course,	Dist from Court	House
					
And not executed a	s to the defendant,			the	
diligence used in fit	nding said defendant being			and	
the cause of failure	to execute this process is:			and	
the information rec	eived as to the whereabouts	of the said	defendant		
	•				
Fees – Serving:					
rees - Serving.					
	,County, Texas				
Deputy					
Deputy					
V	ERIFICATION OF RET	URN (IF N	OT SERVED BY	PEACE OFFIC	CER)
State of Texas					
County of					
			. 41	13T / D	
SUBSCRIBED .	AND SWORN TO BE	<u>FORE ME</u>	the undersign	ed Notary Pu	blic by
SUBSCRIBED .			t, the undersign		



FILED 20/2014 2:53:42 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

CAUSE NO.: CV-13-2204

VANITY BENSON,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§	59 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
TYSON FOODS, INC.,	§ §	
Defendant.	§ §	GRAYSON COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED PETITION AND JURY DEMAND

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiff Vanity Benson ("Benson") presents her First Amended Petition and Jury Demand and for causes of action would show the Court as follows:

Discovery Control Plan

1. Benson intends discovery to be conducted under Level 2, TEX. R. CIV. P. 190.3.

Service of Process

2. Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc., ("Tyson Foods") is a foreign corporation that may be served with process by serving its registered agent, CT Corporation System, at its registered address, 350 N. St. Paul Street, Suite 2900, Dallas, Texas 75201-4234.

Background Facts

- 3. This is a negligence case for work injuries.
- 4. On October 4, 2012, Tyson assigned Benson a temporary forklift which did not brake or align properly.
- 5. The forklift crushed Benson's foot, breaking several bones, requiring multiple surgeries, including the placement of screws in her foot.

Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Jury Demand



- 6. Tyson Foods is a non-subscriber to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, and it is therefore responsible for negligent injuries to its workers.
 - 7. An employer must warn an employee of the hazards of employment.
 - 8. An employer must furnish reasonably safe equipment to use.
 - 9. An employer must not needlessly endanger an employee.
 - 10. An employer has the duty to inspect and discover dangerous conditions.
- 11. An employer has a duty to protect employees from dangers it knows, or should know, in the exercise of ordinary care.
- 12. An employer is responsible for taking reasonable precautions for any known or potentially known safety issues.
- 13. An employer is responsible if it created or failed to correct an unsafe condition of the premises where the employee is required to work.
- 14. Tyson Foods typically requests that employees who suffer on-the-job injuries sign an "Acceptance and Waiver" document after the injury.
- 15. Tyson Foods may have presented Ms. Benson with an Acceptance and Waiver document for her signature at her car in the Tyson Foods parking lot.
- 16. Benson did not understand the meaning of the document Tyson requested her to sign or the consequences.
- 17. The form may have been an Acceptance and Waiver form to accept the limited benefits offered in Tyson's injury program and waive any rights to recover full compensation for the injuries caused by Tyson.



- 18. Tyson's Human Resource Manager overseeing the Tyson complex at which Benson worked did not understand the consequences of the Acceptance and Waiver form.
- 19. Tyson's Human Resource Manager is not sure or does not know what is being waived.
- 20. Tyson's Human Resource Manager believes the Acceptance and Waiver form is just an agreement to follow Tyson's policies regarding its injury program.
- 21. No one from a Tyson Foods Human Resource Department explained the content or consequences of the Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 22. No one from Tyson Foods legal department explained the content or consequences of an Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 23. No manager from Tyson Foods explained the content or consequences of an Acceptance and Waiver document to Ms. Benson.
- 24. The form which may have been an Acceptance and Waiver document was presented to Ms. Benson at her car by a Tyson Foods evening-shift nurse, Larry Reese.
- 25. Tyson Foods nurse Larry Reese told Ms. Benson that Tyson Foods would not pay for Ms. Benson's medical expenses unless she signed the Acceptance and Waiver document.
- 26. Ms. Benson signed the form in her car in the Tyson Foods parking lot in the presence of only a friend and Tyson Foods evening-shift nurse Larry Reese.
- 27. Larry Reese only stated to Ms. Benson that the form was necessary for her medical expenses to be paid.
 - 28. Larry Reese demanded that Benson sign the paperwork.



Manager W.

- 29. Tyson pressured Benson to sign the paperwork, under duress, not allowing time to understand and consider the consequences or time for review by an attorney.
- 30. Tyson refused to provide Benson with a copy of the paperwork she signed and Benson is not sure of its terms.
- 31. Tyson paid Benson for portions of the time she has missed due to her injury, but refused to pay her for other portions of time she has missed.
- 32. Tyson has also informed Benson that one or more of her medical provider visits was not approved although Benson was not aware of making unapproved medical provider visits.
- 33. After a visit with a medical provider, Benson typically took the medical paperwork to the Tyson office. She would give the paperwork to Tyson and receive a mileage form that she filled out to receive reimbursement for her mileage to and from the doctor.
- 34. Benson did not have a badge for entry into the building during her leave, and she had difficulty walking due to her injuries and surgeries.
- 35. The procedure Tyson established was for Benson to call the Tyson nurse, Larry, from her car at the Tyson guard shack. The nurse would then come out to Benson's car, bringing a mileage form to Benson, and getting the medical paperwork from Benson.
- 36. On July 26, 2013, after a doctor's visit following up on a recent surgery, Benson arrived at the Tyson office to exchange her medical paperwork for a mileage form.
- 37. When the Tyson nurse arrived at Benson's car, Benson gave him her medical paperwork. The nurse took her paperwork but informed her that he could not give her a mileage form. He informed Ms. Benson that she was no longer employed by Tyson.

Plaintiff's First Amended Petition and Jury Demand

38. Benson does not seek to recover or replace benefits under Tyson's injury program. Instead, she seeks remedy for violations of legal duties independent of any federal statute.

Causes of Action

Premises Liability and Negligence

- 39. Tyson Foods owed a duty to Benson to provide a safe workplace and safe equipment required to do her job. Tyson Foods violated its duty by:
 - a. providing a defective forklift to Ms. Benson;
 - b. failing to warn of the hazards of the defective forklift;
 - c. failing to furnish reasonably safe equipment to use;
 - d. needlessly endangering Ms. Benson;
 - e. failing to inspect and discover dangerous conditions;
 - f. failing to protect employees from dangers it knows, or should know, in the exercise of ordinary care;
 - g. failing to take reasonable precautions from any known or potentially known safety issues; and
 - h. failing to correct an unsafe condition of the premises where the employee is required to work.
- 40. Tyson Foods failed to provide Ms. Benson with a necessary instrumentality in keeping with its duty to maintain a safe workplace. Tyson Foods breached that duty, causing damages to Benson within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.



The RAYSON CO

- 41. As a proximate result of Tyson Foods duties regarding its premises and its negligence, Ms. Benson sustained personal injuries to her body generally, including the following damages in the past and in reasonable probability in the future:
 - a. physical pain and suffering;
 - b. mental anguish;
 - c. loss of enjoyment of life;
 - d. inconvenience;
 - e. physical impairment;
 - f. physical disfigurement;
 - g. loss of earning capacity in the past and future; and
 - h. reasonable expenses of necessary medical care in the past and future.

Disability Discrimination

- 42. Benson filed a charge of discrimination based on the disability caused by her work injury.
- 43. Tyson Foods discriminated against Benson because of her disability, ultimately terminating her because of her condition.
 - 44. Benson does not make a claim for disability at this time.
- 45. Benson's disability claim is not ripe for filing with this Court at this time. The purpose of this portion of the pleading is to notify the Court of the claim which will be added if not resolved by the time a notice of right to sue is issued by the appropriate government agency.

Jury Demand

46. Benson requests a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, Benson requests that Defendant be cited to appear and answer and that on final trial, Benson have judgment against Defendant for compensatory and exemplary damages, attorneys' and expert fees, declaratory and injunctive relief as alleged, interest as provided by law, costs of suit, and any further relief to which Benson may be entitled.

FILED I/20/2014 2:53:42 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

Respectfully submitted,

SANFORDBETHUNE

By: /s/ Brian P. Sanford
Brian P. Sanford
Texas Bar No. 17630700
David B. Norris
Texas Bar No. 24060934

3610 Shire Blvd., Suite 206 Richardson, Texas 75082 Telephone: (972) 422-9777 Facsimile: (972) 422-9733

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF VANITY BENSON



2/14/2014 2:02:27 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

CAUSE NO. CV-13-2204

VANITY BENSON,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	GRAYSON COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
TYSON FOODS, INC.,	§	
	§	771
Defendant.	§	59 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT TYSON FOODS, INC.'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TYSON FOODS, INC. Defendant herein, files its Original Answer as follows:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

1. Defendant denies each and every, all and singular, the material allegations contained within Plaintiff's pleadings and demands strict proof thereof.

II. SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS

2. Defendant specially excepts to Paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's Original Petition wherein Plaintiff sets forth the damages sought by him. Defendant objects because said section fails to specify the total amount of damages which Plaintiff seeks in this case. Plaintiff is required pursuant to Rule 47(c) of Tex. R. Civ. P. to make an affirmative statement of the amount of monetary relief and non-monetary relief sought in this lawsuit. Accordingly, Plaintiff should be ordered to file by a date certain an amended pleading that re-pleads with specificity the total amount of damages which Plaintiff seeks in this case in accordance with Rule 47(c).

FILED 2/14/2014 2:02:27 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

III. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 3. By way of affirmative defense, Plaintiff's claims, if any, for exemplary, punitive or other damages are barred, limited, restricted, and/or governed by the provisions of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code Chapter 41, and any other applicable statute concerning the recovery of damages and the common law of Texas. Accordingly, Defendant specifically pleads the limitations, restrictions and/or bar on the recovery by Plaintiff of such damages in accordance with Chapter 41 and other applicable law.
- 4. By way of affirmative defense, Defendant affirmatively pleads that Plaintiff's damages, if any, were solely caused by the conduct of other parties, including Plaintiff, or alternatively, that the conduct of other parties, including Plaintiff, was an intervening cause of Plaintiff's damages. Therefore, Defendant is not liable for such damages.

IV. JURY DEMAND

5. In accordance with Rule 216 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant demands a trial by jury.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc., prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this suit, that Defendant go hence with its costs without delay, and for such other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which Defendant may show itself justly entitled.

Page 2 of 3

2/14/2014 2:02:27 PM Kelly Ashmore District Clerk Grayson County

Respectfully submitted,

KANE RUSSELL COLEMAN & LOGAN PC

1601 Elm Street, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201 214.777.4200 / Fax 214.777.4299

By: /s/Zach T. Mayer Zach T. Mayer State Bar No. 24013118 zmayer@krcl.com Brian J. Fisher Texas State Bar No. 24032178 bfisher@krcl.com

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT Tyson Foods, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 14th day of February 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded to all counsel of record as follows:

VIA EFILE AND CMRRR# 7013 2630 0001 9825 0043

Brian P. Sanford David B. Norris **SANFORDBETHUNE** 3610 Shire Blvd., Suite 206 Richardson, Texas 75083

> /s/Zach T. Mayer Zach T. Mayer